

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

07/754,465 09/03/91 GHALY

N

HARRISON, J

NABIL N. GHALY 14 LONGWOOD DRIVE SOUTH HUNTINGTON NEW YORK, NY 11746

3304

N /	•
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	om the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.	PTO-948. Application, Form PTO-152
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. Claims	
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	have been cancelled
3 X Claims 4	
4. \$\forall \taims  1-40 \tand 42-46	are allowed.
5. Claims	are objected to.
6. Claims are subject to restrict	
This application has been filed with informal drawings under 97.0.5.0.4.5.4.5.4.5.5.4.5.4.5.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.4.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.	
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.	
8.  Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under	or 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
To acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).	
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	☐ approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been approved; disapproved	d (see explanation)
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been recommendation been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
14 Chor	

**EXAMINER'S ACTION** 

Serial No. 754,465

-2-

Art Unit 3304

Claims 1-40 and 42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Use of the term "box" in claims 1, 21 and 40 is vague as it is unconnected to the other structure as well as being non-descriptive. "A housing" or "for housing the device", or the like language is suggested. In claims 17 and 33, the language should be placed in means plus function format for the functions to be limiting and defined. In claim 40, "N" should be defined (i.e. "wherein N represents an integer and N>1" or the like). Claim 42 is not in proper method form. No steps for carrying out the method are recited. Further the claim is confusing and not understood as to what is being defined. A routing method for what?

Method claims should set forth all and only that structure required for carrying out the method in the claim preamble and the clearly list the steps of the method in the claim body.

Mathematical algorithms are considered non-statutory subject matter and are not patentable.

Claims 43-47 are in improper format in that they attempt to combine an apparatus claim and a method claim. If applicant intends to incorporate the functions/method of claim 42 into the apparatus claims, they should be written out completely in means plus function form and dependent from the independent claim only.

Serial No. 754,465

Art Unit 3304

Claim 41 is allowable over the prior art of record.

Claims 1-40 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claims 42-47 appear to encompass allowable subject matter.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited art is the closest of which the examiner is presently aware.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to J. Harrison at telephone number (703) 308-2217.

4|24|42 J.Harrison/pw April 21, 1992

JESSICA HARRISON